

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/887,492	06/22/2001	Luis M. Ortiz	ORTIZ-1001	7719	
75	7590 01/12/2005			EXAMINER	
KERMIT D. LOPEZ/LUIS M. ORTIZ			ELAHEE, MD S		
ORTIZ & LOPI P.O. BOX 4484	EZ, PLLC, PATENT AT	TORNEYS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	UE, NM 87196-4484		2645		
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

manufacture Addison	09/887,492 LUIS M. ORTIZ		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Md S Elahee	2645	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	CE THIS APPLICATION IN CO avoid abandonment of this appli	NDITION FOR ALL cation. A proper re ich places the appli	OWANCE. ply to a cation in
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The di have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF Thate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 resion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final resion.	HE FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate etc. 1 the final Office action; of th	See MPEP te extension fee xtension fee under r (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appelland 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)	t's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below)	;
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma		
(d) they present additional claims without canc	eling a corresponding number o	f finally rejected cla	aims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has been co	nsidered but does f	NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		Y to issues which w	were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a)⊠ will not be entered o would be rejected is provided b	r b)∏ will be entere elow or appended.	ed and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-31 and 79-105</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>32-78</u> .			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)	ipproved or b) disapproved	by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater			
10. ☐ Other:			
1			

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1, the proposed word 'transferring', claim 15, the proposed word 'transferred', claim 30, the proposed word 'physically' and claim 100, the proposed limitation 'transferringsaid WD' are new issues and fail to recite in the original claims.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600